

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/689,447	1	10/12/2000	Benoist Sebire	297-009787-US(PAR)	7380
7:	590	05/17/2005		EXAMINER	
Clarence A G	reen		ELALLAM, AHMED		
Perman & Gree	en		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
425 Post Road	06420			FAFER NUMBER	
Fairfield, CT	00430		2662		
			DATE MAILED: 05/17/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•		09/689,447	SÉBIRE, BENOIST			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		AHMED ELALLAM	2662			
Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication apple	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHOI THE MA - Extensiafter SU - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period wito reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)□ T 3)□ S	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 Fe</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowan Hosed in accordance with the practice under Ex	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro				
Dispositio	n of Claims					
4a 5)□ C 6)⊠ C 7)□ C	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application. a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw claim(s) is/are allowed. claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected. claim(s) is/are objected to. claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application	n Papers					
10)□ Tr A R	ne specification is objected to by the Examiner ne drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepplicant may not request that any objection to the deplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	pted or b) objected to by the E rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
2) D Notice of 3) D Information	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) lo(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/689,447

Art Unit: 2662

DETAILED ACTION

This is in response to the RCA filed on February 28, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With regard to claim 1, the claimed "selecting the number of radio bursts in the certain time slot" is contradictory with the previous recited "certain time slot relating to one burst". More specifically, the time slot cannot be related to only one burst, and in the same time to a number of bursts.

Claims 2-18 depends from claim 1, thus they are subject to the same rejection.

With regard to claims 19-27, claims 19-27 suffer from the same deficiencies as indicated above with reference to claim 1, therefore they are subject to the same rejections.

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make

Art Unit: 2662

and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the transmission of data block in time slot of frame using radio bursts, see specification, page 4 third paragraph, it does not reasonably provide enablement for the selection of the number of radio burst in time slot. The specification does not enable skilled person in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. More specifically, the specification doesn't explain the conditions that trigger the selection of the number of the radio burst, and/or why such selection is required.

An example of radio burst selection is provided in the retransmission of data when errors are detected, see for example, Schramm et al, (US 6,208,663), and figure 5. While in the instant application it is stated that "the invention does not specify on which basis the selection of number of radio burst per certain data block is performed" see page 9, lines 14-15

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-26 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Schram et al, US (6,208,663); Lee et al, US (6,259,744);

Art Unit: 2662

Khan et al, US (2001/0056560); Mazur et al, US (6,438,115); Brany et al, US (6,584,084); Eriksson et al, US (6,865,233).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to AHMED ELALLAM whose telephone number is (571) 272-3097. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kizou Hassan can be reached on (571) 272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AHMED ELALLAM Examiner Art Unit 2662 May 13, 2005

> JOHN PEZZLO PRIMARY EXAMINER